Acta Crystallographica Section E **Structure Reports** Online

ISSN 1600-5368

# catena-Poly[[tetrakis(1H-pyrazole- $\kappa N^2$ )copper(II)]-*u*-hexafluoridosilicato- $\kappa^2 F:F'$ ]

#### Hui Li,\* Qiuping Han, Xiaochuan Chai, Jian Wang and **Chenzhong Yao**

Department of Applied Chemistry, Yuncheng University, Yuncheng, Shanxi 044000, People's Republic of China Correspondence e-mail: lihuiwff@163.com

Received 22 February 2012; accepted 4 March 2012

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 293 K; mean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.007 Å; R factor = 0.059; wR factor = 0.101; data-to-parameter ratio = 12.8.

In the title one-dimensional coordination polymer,  $[Cu(SiF_{6}) (C_3H_4N_2)_4]_n$ , the Cu<sup>II</sup> atom is coordinated by two hexafluoridosilicate F atoms and four pyrazole N atoms in a distorted trans-CuF<sub>2</sub>N<sub>4</sub> octahedral environment. The dihedral angle between the planes of the pyrazlole rings in the asymmetric unit is 74.4  $(3)^{\circ}$ . The hexafluoridosilicate dianion acts as a bridging ligand, connecting the Cu<sup>II</sup> atoms into a [110] chain. The Cu and Si atoms lie on special positions with 2/m site symmetry. In the crystal, intrachain N-H···F hydrogen bonds occur and weak  $C-H \cdots F$  interactions link the chains.

#### **Related literature**

For background to coordination polymers with nitrogencontaining ligands, see: Li et al. (2011).



#### **Experimental**

Crystal data  $[Cu(SiF_6)(C_3H_4N_2)_4]$  $M_r = 477.96$ 

Monoclinic, C2/c a = 10.617 (2) Å

b = 12.108 (2) Å c = 14.652 (3) Å  $\beta = 95.07 (3)^{\circ}$ V = 1876.2 (6) Å<sup>3</sup> Z = 4

## Data collection

Rigaku Mercury CCD	7864 measured reflections
diffractometer	1665 independent reflections
Absorption correction: multi-scan	1283 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
(CrystalClear; Rigaku/MSC,	$R_{\rm int} = 0.079$
2005)	
$T_{\min} = 0.730, T_{\max} = 0.771$	

#### Refinement

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.059$ wR(F<sup>2</sup>) = 0.101 130 parameters H-atom parameters constrained  $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.44 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^-$ S = 1.16 $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.31$  e Å<sup>-3</sup> 1665 reflections

#### Table 1

Selected bond lengths (Å).

Cu1-N1	2.007 (4)	Si1-F1	1.679 (2)
Cu1-N3	2.008 (3)	Si1-F2	1.680 (3)
Cu1-F3	2.348 (2)	SI1-F3	1.695 (2)

### Table 2

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
N2-H2 $A$ ···F2 <sup>i</sup> N4 H4 $A$ ···F1 <sup>i</sup>	0.86	1.99	2.849 (5) 2.848 (4)	174 162
$C1 - H1 \cdots F2^{ii}$	0.93	2.46	3.317 (6)	153

Symmetry codes: (i)  $x - \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $y + \frac{1}{2}$ , z; (ii)  $-x + \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $y + \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $-z + \frac{1}{2}$ .

Data collection: CrystalClear (Rigaku/MSC, 2005); cell refinement: CrystalClear; data reduction: CrystalClear; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.

We thank the young scientist fund of the NSFC of China (51101138) and the College Research Program of Yuncheng University (2008114) for funding.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: HB6651).

#### References

- Li, Z. X., Chu, X., Cui, G. H., Liu, Y., Li, L. & Xue, G. L. (2011). CrystEngComm, 13, 1984-1989.
- Rigaku/MSC (2005). CrystalClear. Rigaku/MSC Inc., The Woodlands, Texas, USA
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.

# metal-organic compounds

Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation

 $0.25 \times 0.22 \times 0.20$  mm

 $\mu = 1.30 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ 

T = 293 K

# supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2012). E68, m405 [doi:10.1107/S1600536812009531]

# *catena*-Poly[[tetrakis(1*H*-pyrazole- $\kappa N^2$ )copper(II)]- $\mu$ -hexafluoridosilicato- $\kappa^2 F:F'$ ]

# Hui Li, Qiuping Han, Xiaochuan Chai, Jian Wang and Chenzhong Yao

## Comment

In recent years, nitrogen-containing ligands have been extensively studied (Li *et al.*, 2011). Pyrazole have been well used as a kind of N-containing ligands.

Single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis reveals that the title compound (I) crystallizes in the monoclinic space group C2/c. For the title compound, the geometry of the Cu(II) ion is bound by four pyrazole ligands and two hexafluoridosilicate dianions, which illustrates a distorted octahedral coordination environment (Fig 1). The dihedral angle between the pyrazole rings is 105.6°.

## Experimental

A buffer layer of a solution (8 ml) of methanol and chloroform (1:1) was carefully layered over the chloroform solution of pyrazole (0.06 mmol, 6 ml). Then a methanol solution of  $CuSiF_6$  (0.02 mmol, 6 ml) was layered over the buffer layer. The resultant reaction was left to stand at room temperature. After *ca* three weeks, colorless blocks appeared at the boundary. Yield: ~20% (based on pyrazole).

# Refinement

C-bound H atoms were positioned geometrically and refined in the riding-model approximation, with C—H = 0.93 Å and  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}$  (C).

The N-bound H atoms were located in a difference map and their positions were freely refined with  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}$  (N).

# **Computing details**

Data collection: *CrystalClear* (Rigaku/MSC, 2005); cell refinement: *CrystalClear* (Rigaku/MSC, 2005); data reduction: *CrystalClear* (Rigaku/MSC, 2005); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008).



# Figure 1

The molecular structure of (I). Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level and H atoms are shown as small spheres of arbitrary radius.



# Figure 2

The crystal packing for (I).

# *catena*-Poly[[tetrakis(1*H*-pyrazole- $\kappa N^2$ )copper(II)]- $\mu$ -hexafluoridosilicato- $\kappa^2 F:F'$ ]

Crystal data	
$[Cu(SiF_6)(C_3H_4N_2)_4]$	F(000) = 964
$M_r = 477.96$	$D_{\rm x} = 1.692 {\rm Mg}$
Monoclinic, $C2/c$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
Hall symbol: -C 2yc	Cell parameters
a = 10.617 (2)  Å	$\theta = 3.0-27.5^{\circ}$
b = 12.108 (2)  Å	$\mu = 1.30 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
c = 14.652 (3) Å	T = 293  K
$\beta = 95.07 \ (3)^{\circ}$	Block, colorless
V = 1876.2 (6) Å <sup>3</sup>	$0.25 \times 0.22 \times 0.22$
Z = 4	
Data collection	
Rigaku Mercury CCD	7864 measured
diffractometer	1665 independe
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	1283 reflections
Graphite monochromator	$R_{\rm int} = 0.079$
Detector resolution: 9 pixels mm <sup>-1</sup>	$\theta_{\rm max} = 25.0^{\circ},  \theta_{\rm min}$
$\omega$ scans	$h = -12 \rightarrow 12$
Absorption correction: multi-scan	$k = -14 \rightarrow 14$
(CrystalClear; Rigaku/MSC, 2005)	$l = -17 \rightarrow 17$

 $T_{\rm min} = 0.730, T_{\rm max} = 0.771$ 

 $m^{-3}$ n,  $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å from 7871 reflections S .20 mm

reflections ent reflections s with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$  $_{\rm nin} = 3.0^{\circ}$ 

Refinement

Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier
map
Hydrogen site location: inferred from
neighbouring sites
H-atom parameters constrained
$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0309P)^2 + 3.0774P]$
where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.44 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
$\Delta \rho_{\min} = -0.31 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

## Special details

**Geometry**. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

**Refinement**. Refinement of  $F^2$  against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on  $F^2$ , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The threshold expression of  $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$  is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on  $F^2$  are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(A^2)$ 

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}*/U_{ m eq}$
Cu1	0.2500	0.2500	0.5000	0.0262 (2)
F1	0.3887 (2)	-0.0988 (2)	0.4884 (2)	0.0540 (8)
F2	0.5087 (3)	0.0006 (2)	0.38606 (17)	0.0533 (8)
F3	0.3866 (2)	0.09883 (19)	0.48968 (18)	0.0429 (7)
Si1	0.5000	0.0000	0.5000	0.0313 (4)
N1	0.2744 (3)	0.2900 (3)	0.3698 (2)	0.0304 (9)
N2	0.2000 (3)	0.3633 (3)	0.3217 (3)	0.0362 (9)
H2A	0.1452	0.4044	0.3451	0.043*
N3	0.1011 (3)	0.1589 (3)	0.4510(2)	0.0309 (9)
N4	-0.0206 (4)	0.1865 (3)	0.4494 (3)	0.0490 (11)
H4A	-0.0480	0.2459	0.4730	0.059*
C1	0.2212 (5)	0.3646 (4)	0.2340 (3)	0.0476 (13)
H1	0.1801	0.4084	0.1885	0.057*
C2	0.3147 (5)	0.2895 (4)	0.2227 (3)	0.0487 (14)
H2	0.3503	0.2723	0.1687	0.058*
C3	0.3449 (4)	0.2448 (4)	0.3085 (3)	0.0389 (11)
Н3	0.4059	0.1907	0.3219	0.047*
C4	-0.0944 (5)	0.1099 (4)	0.4063 (4)	0.0611 (17)
H4	-0.1822	0.1118	0.3971	0.073*
C5	-0.0190 (5)	0.0291 (4)	0.3785 (3)	0.0522 (15)
Н5	-0.0430	-0.0351	0.3467	0.063*
C6	0.1020 (4)	0.0633 (4)	0.4078 (3)	0.0446 (13)
H6	0.1749	0.0238	0.3984	0.054*

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
Cu1	0.0254 (4)	0.0244 (4)	0.0285 (4)	0.0000 (4)	0.0012 (3)	-0.0008 (4)
F1	0.0361 (15)	0.0378 (16)	0.088 (2)	-0.0014 (13)	0.0064 (15)	-0.0160 (15)
F2	0.0553 (17)	0.0645 (19)	0.0392 (17)	0.0229 (16)	-0.0006 (14)	-0.0063 (15)
F3	0.0389 (15)	0.0348 (15)	0.0540 (18)	0.0193 (12)	-0.0006 (13)	-0.0025 (13)
Si1	0.0268 (9)	0.0263 (9)	0.0397 (11)	0.0087 (8)	-0.0032 (8)	-0.0072 (8)
N1	0.028 (2)	0.028 (2)	0.035 (2)	0.0005 (16)	-0.0005 (18)	0.0004 (17)
N2	0.035 (2)	0.038 (2)	0.036 (2)	0.0079 (18)	0.0058 (19)	0.0050 (19)
N3	0.026 (2)	0.028 (2)	0.039 (2)	-0.0013 (16)	0.0062 (17)	-0.0066 (17)
N4	0.034 (2)	0.031 (2)	0.080 (3)	0.0045 (19)	-0.005 (2)	-0.005 (2)
C1	0.042 (3)	0.064 (4)	0.036 (3)	-0.005 (3)	0.001 (2)	0.015 (3)
C2	0.047 (3)	0.069 (4)	0.032 (3)	-0.006 (3)	0.012 (2)	-0.007 (3)
C3	0.032 (2)	0.040 (3)	0.045 (3)	0.003 (2)	0.006 (2)	-0.004 (3)
C4	0.039 (3)	0.042 (3)	0.097 (5)	-0.009 (3)	-0.021 (3)	0.002 (3)
C5	0.062 (4)	0.041 (3)	0.052 (3)	-0.012 (3)	-0.009 (3)	-0.011 (3)
C6	0.038 (3)	0.041 (3)	0.057 (3)	-0.009 (2)	0.013 (3)	-0.018 (3)

Atomic	displ	lacement	parameters	$(Å^2)$	)
				۱. I	,

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Cu1—N1 <sup>i</sup>	2.007 (4)	N2—H2A	0.8600
Cu1—N1	2.007 (4)	N3—C6	1.320 (5)
Cu1—N3 <sup>i</sup>	2.008 (3)	N3—N4	1.332 (5)
Cu1—N3	2.008 (3)	N4—C4	1.337 (6)
Cu1—F3 <sup>i</sup>	2.348 (2)	N4—H4A	0.8600
Cu1—F3	2.348 (2)	C1—C2	1.366 (6)
Sil—F1	1.679 (2)	C1—H1	0.9300
Sil—F2	1.680(3)	C2—C3	1.381 (6)
SI1—F3	1.695 (2)	С2—Н2	0.9300
Sil—F1 <sup>ii</sup>	1.679 (2)	С3—Н3	0.9300
Si1—F2 <sup>ii</sup>	1.680(3)	C4—C5	1.350 (7)
Si1—F3 <sup>ii</sup>	1.695 (2)	C4—H4	0.9300
N1—C3	1.336 (5)	C5—C6	1.381 (6)
N1—N2	1.345 (5)	С5—Н5	0.9300
N2—C1	1.324 (6)	С6—Н6	0.9300
N1 <sup>i</sup> —Cu1—N1	180.0	C3—N1—N2	104.9 (4)
N1 <sup>i</sup> —Cu1—N3 <sup>i</sup>	87.50 (14)	C3—N1—Cu1	131.8 (3)
N1—Cu1—N3 <sup>i</sup>	92.50 (14)	N2—N1—Cu1	122.6 (3)
N1 <sup>i</sup> —Cu1—N3	92.50 (14)	C1—N2—N1	111.9 (4)
N1—Cu1—N3	87.50 (14)	C1—N2—H2A	124.0
N3 <sup>i</sup> —Cu1—N3	180.00 (16)	N1—N2—H2A	124.0
$N1^i$ —Cu1—F3 <sup>i</sup>	89.70 (12)	C6—N3—N4	105.1 (4)
N1—Cu1—F3 <sup>i</sup>	90.30 (12)	C6—N3—Cu1	127.9 (3)
N3 <sup>i</sup> —Cu1—F3 <sup>i</sup>	91.15 (11)	N4—N3—Cu1	126.9 (3)
N3—Cu1—F3 <sup>i</sup>	88.85 (11)	N3—N4—C4	111.2 (4)
N1 <sup>i</sup> —Cu1—F3	90.30 (12)	N3—N4—H4A	124.4
N1—Cu1—F3	89.70 (12)	C4—N4—H4A	124.4
N3 <sup>i</sup> —Cu1—F3	88.85 (11)	N2—C1—C2	107.3 (4)

N3—Cu1—F3	91.15 (11)	N2—C1—H1	126.3
F3 <sup>i</sup> —Cu1—F3	180.0	C2—C1—H1	126.3
Si1—F3—Cu1	169.24 (15)	C1—C2—C3	105.2 (4)
F1 <sup>ii</sup> —Si1—F1	180.00 (17)	C1—C2—H2	127.4
F1 <sup>ii</sup> —Si1—F2 <sup>ii</sup>	90.16 (15)	С3—С2—Н2	127.4
F1—Si1—F2 <sup>ii</sup>	89.84 (15)	N1—C3—C2	110.6 (4)
F1 <sup>ii</sup> —Si1—F2	89.84 (15)	N1—C3—H3	124.7
F1—Si1—F2	90.16 (15)	С2—С3—Н3	124.7
F2 <sup>ii</sup> —Si1—F2	180.000 (1)	N4—C4—C5	107.8 (5)
F1 <sup>ii</sup> —Si1—F3	89.69 (12)	N4—C4—H4	126.1
F1—Si1—F3	90.31 (12)	С5—С4—Н4	126.1
F2 <sup>ii</sup> —Si1—F3	89.49 (13)	C4—C5—C6	104.5 (4)
F2—Si1—F3	90.51 (13)	С4—С5—Н5	127.8
F1 <sup>ii</sup> —Si1—F3 <sup>ii</sup>	90.31 (12)	С6—С5—Н5	127.8
F1—Si1—F3 <sup>ii</sup>	89.69 (12)	N3—C6—C5	111.4 (4)
F2 <sup>ii</sup> —Si1—F3 <sup>ii</sup>	90.51 (13)	N3—C6—H6	124.3
F2—Si1—F3 <sup>ii</sup>	89.49 (13)	С5—С6—Н6	124.3
F3—Si1—F3 <sup>ii</sup>	180.0		
N1 <sup>i</sup> —Cu1—F3—Si1	-53.9 (8)	N1—Cu1—N3—C6	73.5 (4)
N1—Cu1—F3—Si1	126.1 (8)	F3 <sup>i</sup> —Cu1—N3—C6	163.9 (4)
N3 <sup>i</sup> —Cu1—F3—Si1	33.6 (8)	F3—Cu1—N3—C6	-16.1 (4)
N3—Cu1—F3—Si1	-146.4 (8)	N1 <sup>i</sup> —Cu1—N3—N4	78.8 (4)
Cu1—F3—Si1—F1 <sup>ii</sup>	-48.7 (8)	N1—Cu1—N3—N4	-101.2 (4)
Cu1—F3—Si1—F1	131.3 (8)	F3 <sup>i</sup> —Cu1—N3—N4	-10.9 (4)
Cu1—F3—Si1—F2 <sup>ii</sup>	41.4 (8)	F3—Cu1—N3—N4	169.1 (4)
Cu1—F3—Si1—F2	-138.6 (8)	C6—N3—N4—C4	0.0 (5)
N3 <sup>i</sup> —Cu1—N1—C3	87.4 (4)	Cu1—N3—N4—C4	175.7 (3)
N3—Cu1—N1—C3	-92.6 (4)	N1—N2—C1—C2	-0.5 (5)
$F3^{i}$ —Cu1—N1—C3	178.5 (4)	N2—C1—C2—C3	0.5 (6)
F3—Cu1—N1—C3	-1.5 (4)	N2—N1—C3—C2	0.0 (5)
N3 <sup>i</sup> —Cu1—N1—N2	-104.4 (3)	Cu1—N1—C3—C2	169.7 (3)
N3—Cu1—N1—N2	75.6 (3)	C1—C2—C3—N1	-0.3 (6)
$F3^{i}$ —Cu1—N1—N2	-13.2 (3)	N3—N4—C4—C5	0.0 (6)
F3—Cu1—N1—N2	166.8 (3)	N4—C4—C5—C6	0.0 (6)
C3—N1—N2—C1	0.3 (5)	N4—N3—C6—C5	0.0 (5)
Cu1—N1—N2—C1	-170.6 (3)	Cu1—N3—C6—C5	-175.7 (3)
N1 <sup>i</sup> —Cu1—N3—C6	-106.5 (4)	C4—C5—C6—N3	0.0 (6)

Symmetry codes: (i) -x+1/2, -y+1/2, -z+1; (ii) -x+1, -y, -z+1.

# Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	D—H	H···A	D····A	D—H···A
N2—H2A···F2 <sup>iii</sup>	0.86	1.99	2.849 (5)	174
N4—H4 $A$ ···F1 <sup>iii</sup>	0.86	2.02	2.848 (4)	162
C1— $H1$ ···F2 <sup>iv</sup>	0.93	2.46	3.317 (6)	153

Symmetry codes: (iii) x-1/2, y+1/2, z; (iv) -x+1/2, y+1/2, -z+1/2.